

Remarks/Arguments

Allowable Subject Matter

5 **Examiner:**

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10

Response:

The Applicant acknowledges and appreciates the allowance of claims 4 and 5. Therefore, without disclaimer of any kind as to the merits of claims as originally filed, the limitations of claim 4 have been incorporated into claim 1 and the amended claim 1 is now believed to be allowable.

15

Claim Rejections

Examiner:

20 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA) in view of Bynum (US 4,495,536). Claims 2,3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA) in view of Bynum, and further in view of Fujihira et al. (US 5,621,601).

25

Response:

As previously stated, claim 1 has been amended and is now believed by the Applicant to be allowable. Additionally, claim 4 has been cancelled and claim 5 has been slightly amended to now depend upon claim 1. No new material has been introduced. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance

30

of claims 1-3 and 5 is respectfully requested.

Introduction of New Claim 6

5

Please amend the present application to include newly introduced claim 6. Support for claim 6 can be found in the original claims 1 and 4 and in Figs.2-3. No new material has been introduced.

10

Priority Certification

15

The Examiner has noted in the "Detailed Action" section of this Office Action that certified copies of the documents required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b) regarding the applicant's claim for foreign priority had not been received by the USPTO.

20

25

The applicant fails to understand the reason for the non-receipt of the required certified copy. Office records indicate that the required certified copy was sent in a timely manner to the USPTO on 02/07/2002, approximately a week after the filing date of this application. Following the signature to this response is an attachment including a copy of the office docket with the pertinent date circled, a copy of the signed and dated Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21), a copy of the completed Declaration - Supplemental Priority Data Sheet (PTO/SB/02B), and a copy of the required certified copy of the prior foreign application.

30

In an effort to insure full compliance with 35 U.S.C. 119(b), the applicant has obtained a second certified copy

Office Docket

本所案號: CE1-P0033-USA 名稱: Input protection circuit of a
 客戶案號: hand held electronic device
 國外案號: _____
 專利案號: 09/683,451 申請日期: 1/29/02 專利日期: _____
 發明人: 陳忠和 苗建豪 施景元 → 2263799 # 910 (6格)

文件編號	階段	績效編號	日期	記錄人	項目	摘要
1	A1	ZOEZ	2001/3/23	John	From 神室	新案 (初稿) 完成日期 2002/5/18
2	A1		8/13/01	John		claim 翻印 (單)
3	A1	"	9/6/01	Steven		Spec. translation
			2001/1/1	John	From 神室	改稿 - 贅為申請人
			1/30/02	雅	To PTO	送件
			2/7/02	雅	"	補伏先權証
			2/20	雅	From "	recorrdation
			3/4	雅	"	Filing receipt (神圖期限 = 4/2)
			3/7	"	To "	補圖
			4/22	"	from "	Filing receipt
			12/16	"	"	Publication
			12/16/03	Sandy	"	O.A. (截止日期: 3/3/2004)
			1/8	芸	From Tina	提中文監審分析
			1/13/2003	Daniel		OA analysis
			1/14	芸	To Tina	提選內容
			1/19	芸	From Tina	監審程序

案件的每一個階段及相關文件的產生及出入均應詳實記載。

NPO-PIA074-02